[30th January 1956

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM : எதிர்க்கட்சி அங்கத்தினர் என்ற காரணத்தினால் அவர்கள் கமிட்டியில் நியமிக்கப்படவில்லை என்று சொல்வது சரியல்ல. ஏனென்றால் ஸ்ரீ கோவிந்தசாமியை கமிட்டியில் நியமித்திருப்பதிலிருந்தே இது நன்றாக விளங்கும். ஆகவே யாரைப் போட்டால் கமிட்டி நன்றாக நடக்கும் என்ற முறையில் தீர ஆலோசித்து தான் நியமிக்கப்படுகிறது.

Sri K. VINAYAKAM : Is the Hon. Minister aware of the common adage that a single swallow does not make summer? Similarly as far as the Chingleput district is concerned, only the sympathizers of the Congress party are drafted on to serve on this committee, whereas there are other Assembly Members who are qualified in teaching, who have obtained degrees from the University of Madras and who still believe in basic education, but whose claims have been overlooked. Will the Hon. Minister kindly issue instructions to the department concerned to reconstitute this committee at least on a logical basis in the coming year?

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM : All these aspects were taken into consideration. Also the aspect that these committees should function smoothly without trouble was taken into consideration and then only the appointments were made.

9–10 a.m. Sri V. BALAKRISHNAN : செங்கல்பட்டில் மட்டுமில்லை. புதுக்கோட்டை, தஞ்சாவூர் முதலிய இதர இடங்களிலும் காங்கிரஸ் காரர்களுக்கே சலுகை கொடுக்கப்பட்டு அவர்களே இந்தக் கமிட்டிகளுக்கு நியமிக்கப்பட்டிருப்பதை கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் அறிவார்களா ?

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM : மற்ற ஜில்லாக்களைப் பொறுத்த மட்டில் என்னிடத்தில் தற்சமயம் விபரங்கள் இல்லை என்று இதற்கு முன்பே நான் சொன்னேன். ஆதாரக் கல்வியில் யாருக்கு நம்பிக்கை இருக்கிறதோ அவர்களைத்தான் இந்தக் கமிட்டிகளுக்கு நியமிக்க வேண்டியிருக்கிறது. ஆதாரக் கல்வியில் நம்பிக்கை இருக்கிறவர்கள் எதிர்க் கட்சியைச் சேர்ந்தவர்களா இருந்தாலும் அவர்களையும் நியமித்திருக்கிறோம் என்பதைப்பற்றி ஸ்ரீ விநாயகமே எடுத்துச்சொன்னார்கள்.

States Reorganization Commission Report

* 3078 *Q*.—Sri P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have examined the States Reorganization Commission's Report; and

(*b*) the steps the Government propose to take for the inclusion of all territories with a majority of Tamil speaking people with the Madras State?

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM : (*a*) Yes, Sir.

(*b*) The Government of India have been addressed in the matter.

Sri P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR : Who is the ultimate and final authority in the matter of States Reorganization? How and when the idea of the formation of Zonal States and especially

30th January 1956]

Dakshina Pradesh originated and to whose advantage? What is the Tamil-speaking territory which has been preserved and gained by the present Ministry?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : The hon. Member has put a series of questions and I would request the hon. Member to put them one by one.

SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR : So far as the present Ministry is concerned, there is an impression that it has been losing one territory after another; may I know what is the territory that has been gained for the Tamilians by this Ministry?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, it is not a question of territory conquest by the Ministry. It is a question of reorganization of States and it is a question of what would be desirable and proper from the point of view of reorganization of States. As far as I could see—even though we do not claim that we have got that area—the five taluks of the Travancore-Cochin State are proposed to be merged with the Madras State. I do not claim it as a gain which this Ministry secured, but consider it as a proposal made by the States Reorganization Commission and also accepted by the Government of India.

SRI V. R. KRISHNA AYYAR : Have the Government framed their attitude towards the move for a State where the Tamil-speaking people did not count for anything by merging them in a large State and thereby producing instead of reorganization, a disorganization of States?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, I am glad the hon. Member is expressing his opinion with regard to the proposal of having larger units. But, the Government have not yet formulated their own opinion about the formation of a bigger State.

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN : Who conceived the idea of this unwanted monster, Dakshina Pradesh? Are the Government been playing the part of midwife for the delivery of Dakshina Pradesh? (Laughter.)

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : To look after midwives is purely the function of the hon. Member. As far as the other part of the hon. Member's question is concerned, whether it is an unwanted monster or not, the proposal has been made and it is in the air. No final decision has been taken on that.

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR : Sir, the Government have been saying that they have referred to the Government of India the claims of the majority of the Tamil-speaking area in the Travancore-Cochin State. May I know whether the Government have also referred to the Government of India the claims of the majority of Malayalam-speaking people in Gudalur? May I know whether the Government have taken into account the language spoken in the respective areas when they suggested a solution to the Government of India?

[30th January 1956

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : With regard to Gudalur, there was a separate question the other day and I have already answered that as well as a sufficient number of supplementaries.

SRI K. P. GOPALAN : May I know whether the Central Government have made any consultation with this Government regarding the formation of Dakshina Pradesh?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : No, Sir. The Central Government have not made any proposal to this Government with regard to the formation of the Dakshina Pradesh.

SRI S. RAMALINGAM : நம் ராஜ்ய முதன் மந்திரி அவர்கள் பிரதம மந்திரி ஜவஹர்லால் நேரு அவர்களை சமீபத்தில் சந்திக்கும்பொழுது தக்ஷணப் பிரதேசம் பற்றி சம்பாஷிக்கப் போகிறார்கள் என்ற செய்தி பிரசுரமாயிருக்கிறது. இது விஷயமாக சர்க்கார் எண்ணத்தைப்பற்றி பேசப் போகிறார்களா, அல்லது சொந்த முறையில் பேசப்போகிறார்களா ? சர்க்கார் எண்ணத்தைப்பற்றி பேசப்போகிறார்கள் என்றால், இது விஷயமாக சர்க்கார் என்ன முடிவு செய்திருக்கிறார்கள் ?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : நானும் அந்த செய்தியை இன்று பத்திரிகையிலே பார்த்தேன். இது பற்றி முதல் மந்திரியுடன் ஆலோசிக்க எனக்கு இதுவரையில் இன்னும் சந்தர்ப்பம் கிடைக்கவில்லை. முதன் மந்திரி அவர்களும் இப்பொழுதுதான் வந்திருக்கிறார்கள்.

SRI S. RAMALINGAM : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister, who is bodily present here, be pleased to answer my question?

SRI M. JAGANNATHAN : In view of the fact that there is a great unrest and agitation all over Tamilnad on the question of Devikulam and Peermede muddle, will the Government consider the desirability of conducting a plebiscite if necessary, in these disputed areas?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, I do not think the principle of plebiscite has been accepted by the Government of India. But, I may tell the House that the entire matter is under consideration by the Central Government. The ultimate authority is the Parliament and I am sure all these aspects will be taken into consideration when the Parliament takes a final decision.

SRI S. PANCHAKSHARAM : Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to state what will be the total population of Tamil-speaking people in this State and the total population of the Travancore-Cochin State alongside with Malayalam-speaking people?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : It rather seems to be a test for my memory. Anyway, I shall try to answer it. As for Madras as proposed to be constituted according to the recommendations of the Central Government, the population will be about 3 crores. Out of that, 5 lakhs will be Malayalees, 13 lakhs will be Telugus and about 5 will be Kannadigas if I remember aright. As for the proposed Kerala State with Malabar included in it, the population will be 1·3 crores. I cannot state offhand what will be the Tamil population in the Travancore-Cochin State.

30th January 1956]

SRI E. L. RAGHAVA MUDALIAR : In view of the fact that the Hon. Chief Minister is going to have a talk with the Prime Minister of India in Bangalore in a day or two, will the Hon. the Leader of the House be pleased to give us an opportunity to express our views about the formation of Dakshina Pradesh before he meets the Prime Minister?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : From the Paper reports, it will be seen that the Chief Minister is going there to be present at the opening function of the ECAFE conference. Incidentally, since he is meeting the Prime Minister, something might happen. As the Chief Minister is going there for attending the opening function of the ECAFE Conference, the question referred to by the hon. Member does not arise now.

SRI A. RATNAM : நான்கு தினங்களுக்கு முன்பு தமிழ் நாட்டிலே எல்லா கட்சியரும் சேர்ந்து தக்ஷிணப் பிரதேசம் வேண்டாம் என்று தீர்மானித்திருப்பது கனம் அமைச்சர் அவர்களுக்குத் தெரியுமா ? தமிழ் மக்களின் தீர்மானத்தைப் பிரதிபலித்துப் போராட கனம் அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் முன்வருவாரா ?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : அந்தப் பிரச்னை இப்பொழுது எழவில்லை. தக்ஷிணப் பிரதேசம் இப்பொழுது யோஜனையில் இருக்கிறது. அது எந்த விதத்தில் உருவாக்கப்படப்போகிறது, எப்படி உருவாக்கப்படப் போகிறது என்று தீர்மானம் ஏற்பட்ட பிறகு, இந்த சபையிலே அதைப்பற்றி கட்டாயம் விவாதம் நடக்கும். அப்பொழுது கனம் அங்கத்தினர்களுக்கும் அதைப்பற்றி அபிப்பிராயம் தெரிவிக்க சந்தர்ப்பம் இருக்கும்.

SRI Y. MANJAYA SHETTY : Sir, this House as well as the Government of Madras have strongly recommended the inclusion of that portion of Kasargode taluk lying to the north of Chandragiri river in the Karnataka State. Still, the Central Government seem to have negatived this recommendation. May I request the Government to take up this matter again to the Central Government and request them to respect the opinion of this House as well as the opinion of the Madras Government?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Our views have already been placed before the Central Government. I am sure that, when this matter comes up before the Parliament for final decision, all these aspects will be taken into consideration.

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN : In view of the great muddle that is 9–20
now prevailing in this State and in other States of India regarding a.m.
the reorganization of States, may I request this Government to recommend strongly to the Central Government to put into cold storage the implementation of the entire proposals of the States Reorganization Commission except the formation of Tamil State alone by integrating all the Tamil-speaking areas wherever the Tamil population forms a 70 per cent majority taking taluk as a unit so that the aspirations of the people of Tamilnad may be satisfied?

[30th January 1956

SRI V. R. KRISHNA AYYAR : On a point of explanation, Sir, may I know whether the hon. Member would locate precisely where the muddle is?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRMANIAM : Perhaps it is inconvenient for the hon. Member if he locates the muddle. But, apart from that, this suggestion also has been made to the Central Government not only by the hon. Member here but other distinguished persons also and I am sure the Central Government in making decisions and also the Parliament in making decisions will keep this point in view.

SRI K. KUNHI MOHAMED SHAFEE : The Hon. Minister was saying that the Government have not received any communication about the organization of larger States. May I know who has made the suggestion of organizing larger States and which is now under consideration of this Government?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : There has been an opinion in certain quarters prevalent from the very beginning that the States should be reorganized so that there may be larger units. So, that matter has been in the picture for a very long time and not only for the present. In this matter hon. Members are aware that a lead has been given by Bengal and Bihar. That is how the matter has come up for consideration.

SRI A. GOVINDASWAMY NAYAGAR : மொழிவழி மாநிலங்கள் பிறக்கின்றபோது தமிழ் பேசும் பகுதியை தமிழகம் என்று பெயரிட்டு அழைக்கவேண்டுமென்று இந்தத் தரப்பிலிருந்தும், சர்க்கார் தரப்பி லிருந்தும் பல அங்கத்தினர்கள் பேசியிருக்கிறார்கள். அதைக் குறித்து நமது அரசாங்கம் மத்திய அரசாங்கத்திற்கு என்ன யோசனை சொல்லி யிருக்கிறது என்று அறிய விரும்புகிறேன்.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : இது குழந்தை பிறப்பதற்கு முன்னால் பெயர் வைப்பதைப்போலிருக்கிறது. இப்பொழுது நாடு பிரிக்கப்பட்ட பிற்பாடு அதற்கு என்ன பெயர் வைத்துக்கொள்ளலாம் என்பதைப்பற்றி யோசித்துக்கொள்ளலாம்.

SRI S. C. VIRUPAKSHIAH : With respect to the proposal for the formation of Dakshina Pradesh Press Reports say that not only the Chief Minister but also the Hon. Minister for Finance will be at Bangalore for consultation. May I know the correctness of this report?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : My going to Bangalore is correct. But, whether I will be consulted or not I do not know.

SRI K. VINAYAKAM : In view of the seriousness of this problem and in view of the agitation that has been carried on in this State over this report, will the Chair be pleased to allot one separate day for discussion or failing which at least be pleased to allow an half hour debate so that the Government may know of the view of the entire House? In a matter like the formation of the Southern State and whether we want it or not, the Government

30th January 1956]

must know the views of the Members of the House. May I know whether the Hon. Minister is aware of the fact that all political parties in Tamilnad, their leaders and the rank and file—everybody included—have categorically stated against the formation of this Southern State? Will the Government take these views into account and express them categorically before the Prime Minister of India?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : I do not know whether I or even the Chief Minister will get an opportunity to express our views before the Prime Minister. Whether this topic will come up for consideration at all, I do not know. So, the question does not arise. But I may assure as persons responsible to this legislature that we will keep in mind the various points of view expressed with regard to this question.

SRI T. SHANMUGAM : சில நாட்களுக்கு முன்பாக நமது விவசாய மந்திரி கனம் பக்தவத்சலம் அவர்கள் பீர்மேடு, தேவிகுளம் விஷயமாக சில கருத்துக்களைக் கூறியிருக்கிறார். அதாவது நேரு சொல்லிவிட்டார், ஆகையால் பீர்மேடு, தேவிகுளத்தை நாம் விட்டுவிடவேண்டியதுதான் என்று அவர் சொல்லியிருப்பது சர்க்காருடைய கருத்தா ? அல்லது அவருடைய சொந்த அபிப்பிராயமா ?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : இந்த விஷயத்தில் கடைசியாக முடிவு செய்பவர்கள் மத்திய அரசாங்கம். நம்முடைய உரிமையை நாம் வற்புறுத்தலாம். இருந்தாலும் இரு தரப்பிலும் உரிமை கொண்டாடும்போது மத்தியஸ்தர்கள் சொல்லுகின்ற தீர்ப்பை ஜனநாயக முறைப்படி இரு தரப்பாரும் எற்றுக்கொள்ளத்தான் வேண்டுமென்ற சர்க்கார் கொள்கைப்படி தான் அவர் அபிப்பிராயத்தை வெளியிட்டார்.

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN : In view of the fact that this House had generally welcomed the S.R.C. Report during the discussion on it, will the Government make representations to the Central Government that there shall be no going back on the implementation of the S.R.C. proposals and only leave room for making adjustment of boundaries by a Boundary Commission?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : When we considered the S.R.C. Report the question of the formation of larger States was not before this House at all. After all we may learn by experience and we may change our opinion also. When we want to change our opinion certainly this Assembly will be given an opportunity to express its opinion with regard to that.

Industrial disputes

* 3079 *Q.*—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state why the Government have not implemented the award of the Labour Appellate Tribunal given on 3rd December 1953 at Madras directing the managements of Raja Rajeswari Weaving Mills, Azhikode, Dhanalakshmi Weaving Works, Kakkat, and Sree Ranganatha Weaving Mills, Achoor Post, Munderi in Chirakkal taluk, Malabar, to reinstate twelve retrenched workers in their factories with back wages?